THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.26631 of 2005

Dated 14th December 2005

Between:

N.Satyanarayana Swamy & others

…Petitioner

and

The Vice Chairman & Managing Director,

APSRTC, Musheerabad, Hyderabad.

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 26631 of 2005

O R D E R:

Petitioners were employed as Draughtsman-Grade-II, in the year 1986, in APSRTC, through the process of direct recruitment. There is a separate channel of promotion to that post, from the category of Draughtsman-Grade-III. It is stated that as many as 11 Draughtsmen-Grade-III were promoted to the post of Draughtsman-Grade-II, in the year 1987, and all of them were placed above the petitioners. They state that this anomaly was noticed in the year 1992, when subsequent promotions were being effected. Petitioners claim to have made several representations, the latest being the one dated 25.11.2005, to the respondents, for removing the anomaly, and complains that no action has been taken thereon.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

According to the petitioners, the appointment to the post of Draughtsman-

Grade-II is, through the direct recruitment, as well as through promotion. It is not known as to whether any quota is allocated for these two categories. The grievance of the petitioners is that though they were appointed as Draughtsman-Grade-II, through direct recruitment in 1986, the promotees to that very post, from Grade-III, were placed above them. For the present, it is not known as to on what basis such a course of action was taken. Detailed examination of the relevant provisions, as well as the entitlement of the parties, needs to be undertaken. The petitioners claim that they have been making the representations for the past several years. The issue needs to be determined once for all, duly examining the relevant rule provision and giving opportunity to the affected persons.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the 4th respondent to pass appropriate orders, on the representation made by the petitioner on 25.11.2005, within a period of two months, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, duly issuing a notice to the affected persons, if any. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

14th December 2005

PAN